



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,707	04/02/2004	Alan K. Smith	250512US	9727
22850	7590 09/22/2004		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			BELYAVSKYI, MICHAIL A	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·····, ···· ;		1644	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		Applicant(s)				
055	10/815,707	SMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michail A Belyavskyi	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	()					
	— s action is non-final.					
·	·					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-45 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/815,707 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-45 are pending.

Restriction Requirement

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 2, 34 and 42 drawn to a method for obtaining human lineage committed hematopoietic cells, mesenchymal cells, keratinocytes, fibroblasts, hepatocytes, neural cells, osteoblasts or osteoclasts classified in Class 435, subclass 375
- II. Claims 3, 35 and 43 drawn to a method for obtaining human lineage committed stem cells, progenitor cells, myeloid cells or stromal cells classified in Class 435, subclass 375
- III. Claims 4, 5, 36, 37,44 and 45 drawn to a method for obtaining human lineage committed dendritic cells or non-myeloid mature cells which are other than stromal cells, classified in Class 435, subclass 375
- IV. Claim 16 drawn to a composition of human lineage committed hematopoietic cells, mesenchymal cells, keratinocytes, fibroblasts, hepatocytes, neural cells, osteoblasts or osteoclasts classified in Class 435, subclass 325.
- V. Claim 17 drawn to a composition of human lineage committed human lineage committed stem cells, progenitor cells, myeloid cells or stromal cells classified in Class 435, subclass 325.
- VI. Claims 18 and 19 drawn to a composition of human lineage committed dendritic cells or non-myeloid mature cells which are other than stromal cells, classified in Class 435, subclass 325.
- VII. Claim 25 drawn to a method for treating a human patient in need, comprising administering a composition of human lineage committed hematopoietic cells, mesenchymal cells, keratinocytes, fibroblasts, hepatocytes, neural cells, osteoblasts or osteoclasts, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.1.
- VIII Claims 26, drawn to a method for treating a human patient in need, comprising administering a composition of human lineage committed stem cells, progenitor cells, myeloid cells or stromal cells, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.1.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,707 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

IX. Claims 27 and 28, drawn to a method for treating a human patient in need, comprising administering a composition of human lineage committed dendritic cells or non-myeloid mature cells which are other than stromal cells, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.1.

Claims 1, 7-14, 33, 38-41 are linking claims and will be examined along with claims of Groups I-III if one of Groups I -III is elected.

Claims 1 and 6 are linking claims and will be examined along with claims of Group I

Claims 15 and 20-23 are linking claims and will be examined along with claims of Groups IV-VI if one of Groups IV-VI is elected.

Claims 24 and 29-32 are linking claims and will be examined along with claims of Groups VII-IX if one of Groups VII-IX is elected.

- 4. Groups I- III and VII-IX are different methods. These inventions are different with respect to ingredients, method steps, and endpoints which require non-coextensive searches; therefore, each method is patentably distinct.
- 5. Groups IV-VI are different products. These inventions differ with respect to their structures physicochemical properties, which require non-coextensive searches; therefore each product is patentably distinct.
- 6. Groups IV-VI and VII-IX are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the human lineage committed can be used to produced conditioning media in addition to the methods of treating .
- 7. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Moreover, a prior art search also requires a literature

Application/Control Number: 10/815,707

Art Unit: 1644

search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

8. The examiner has required restriction between product (Groups IV- VII) and process claims (Group I -III). If applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

6. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

A telephone call was made to Daniel Perreira to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,707

Art Unit: 1644

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michail Belyavskyi whose telephone number is 571/272-0840 The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571/ 272-0841 .

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michail Belyavskyi, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 September 20, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600